POWER SEMICONDUCTORS · SIC · GAN · SUPPLY CHAINS · JULY 2026

Part IV: Power Electronics — Devices, Materials, and **Strategic Control**

Why power devices have become a control layer in the high-tech economy — and how materials, substrates, packaging, and export policy now decide the pace, cost, and geography of the next industrial era.

AUTHOR

Bolaji Ojo

Founder, Managing Director & Principal Analyst · GeoTechNexus

PUBLISHED

July 2026

Global Energy Landscape

COVERAGE

Silicon · SiC · GaN

Materials · Packaging · Policy

Supported by Efficient Power Conversion (EPC) · epc-co.com

Part IV: Power Electronics — Devices, Materials, and **Strategic Control**

Bolaji Ojo, Founder, Managing Director & Principal Analyst | GeoTechNexus | July 2026

“Whoever controls the devices that govern electrical power will shape the pace, cost, and geography of the next industrial era.”

TOP TAKEAWAYS

- Power electronics have moved from technical support function to strategic control layer — the ability to convert and manage electricity efficiently is becoming as consequential as compute itself.
- Silicon remains foundational, but silicon carbide (SiC) and gallium nitride (GaN) are gaining strategic importance where efficiency, thermal performance, and high-voltage operation matter most.
- The real vulnerability lies less in geology than in concentration across refining, substrates, packaging, and export-control authority — as China's gallium and germanium restrictions demonstrate.

Supported by Efficient Power Conversion (EPC) · https://epc-co.com/

Executive Summary

Power electronics have moved from technical support function to strategic control layer in the high-tech economy. As AI infrastructure, electric vehicles, renewable systems, industrial automation, and telecom networks expand, the ability to regulate, convert, and manage electricity efficiently is becoming as consequential as compute itself. This report argues that control over power devices, materials, and manufacturing ecosystems will increasingly shape the pace, cost, and geography of industrial growth.

The analysis shows that silicon remains foundational, but silicon carbide and gallium nitride are becoming strategically important where high voltage, thermal performance, compactness, and efficiency matter most. The deeper vulnerability lies not simply in raw-material scarcity, but in concentration across refining, substrates, processing, packaging, and export-control authority, as illustrated by China's use of gallium and germanium restrictions. The report also highlights packaging, module assembly, testing, and qualification as underappreciated choke points, while demand from EVs, renewables, industry, telecom, and data centers is turning power devices into a cross-sector allocation challenge rather than a routine procurement category.

The central conclusion is that strategic control in power electronics no longer depends on excelling in one layer alone. It depends on coordinating the full stack — materials, substrates, devices, packaging, manufacturing, and policy — in a world where energy efficiency and industrial resilience are becoming geopolitical assets.

Key Findings and Conclusions

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

#	Findings and Conclusions
1	Power electronics are no longer subordinate to the digital economy; they are one of its enabling control layers.
2	Silicon remains foundational, but SiC and GaN are gaining strategic importance where efficiency, thermal performance, and high-voltage operation matter most.
3	The real vulnerability in materials lies less in geology than in concentration across refining, processing, substrates, and export-control authority.
4	China's gallium and germanium restrictions demonstrate how upstream materials can be turned into instruments of geopolitical leverage.
5	Packaging, module assembly, and qualification are emerging as underappreciated choke points in power-electronics manufacturing.
6	Demand competition across EVs, renewables, industrial systems, telecom, and data centers is turning power devices into a cross-sector strategic allocation issue.
7	Strategic control in power electronics now depends on the ability to coordinate materials, manufacturing, packaging, and policy rather than excelling in only one layer.

Power Electronics as Strategic Infrastructure

Power electronics occupy an unusual position in the high-tech economy. They are indispensable to modern industrial and digital systems, yet they are often overshadowed by public attention to logic semiconductors, AI accelerators, and software platforms. This is a category error. Compute may dominate the narrative of technological progress, but electrical control determines whether that progress can be scaled, commercialized, and physically sustained. In a world of AI expansion, electrified transport, automated industry, renewable integration, and telecom densification, power electronics are no longer secondary hardware. They are strategic infrastructure.

This section examines three dimensions of that strategic role: the device families that now underpin the energy-performance equation, the materials and upstream supply chains from which they are made, and the manufacturing footprint that is turning power electronics into a geopolitical choke point. The central argument is that power semiconductors are not merely components within a larger system. They are becoming control points in the struggle over energy efficiency, industrial resilience, and techno-industrial power.

Core Device Families

Power electronics are best understood not as a niche segment of semiconductors, but as the layer that regulates the movement of electricity through machines, facilities, and networks. The field spans several device families, each with distinct technical and strategic roles. Silicon power devices, including MOSFETs and insulated-gate bipolar transistors, remain foundational because they are mature, cost-effective, and deeply embedded across industrial and consumer applications. Their dominance, however, no longer tells the full story.

The more consequential shift is the rise of wide-bandgap materials, especially silicon carbide and gallium nitride. These devices support higher switching frequencies, lower losses, better thermal performance, and operation at higher voltages than conventional silicon in many applications. That does not mean wide-bandgap devices will fully displace silicon. It means the power-electronics stack is stratifying, with silicon remaining critical in cost-sensitive and mature applications while SiC and GaN move into segments where efficiency, density, thermal performance, and high-voltage operation are becoming decisive.

This distinction matters because the end markets now driving power-semiconductor demand are structurally different from those of an earlier era. Electric vehicles, fast-charging systems, renewable-energy inverters, industrial automation, and high-density data centers all impose tighter performance requirements than legacy consumer electronics alone. IDTechEx argues that OEMs are increasingly pushing for higher efficiency, consistent reliability, and greater power density, driving adoption of wide-bandgap technologies across EVs, data centers, and renewables. Market estimates also indicate that the combined GaN and SiC power semiconductor market reached $3.63 billion in 2024 and is projected to expand sharply over the next decade, reflecting how quickly these devices are moving from specialist use to mainstream strategic relevance.

POWER-ELECTRONICS DEVICE FAMILIES

Device Family	Typical Strengths	Strategic Uses
Silicon MOSFETs and IGBTs	Mature manufacturing, lower cost, broad installed base.	Industrial drives, consumer power systems, automotive, and legacy infrastructure.
Silicon carbide (SiC)	High-voltage capability, better thermal performance, lower switching losses.	EV inverters, fast charging, renewable-energy systems, high-power industrial equipment, emerging data-center architectures.
Gallium nitride (GaN)	High-frequency switching, compact form factor, strong efficiency in power conversion.	Fast chargers, server power supplies, telecom equipment, compact high-efficiency systems.
Power-management ICs and modules	Integrated control, conditioning, and protection functions.	Consumer devices, servers, networking gear, automotive systems, industrial electronics.

The strategic question is not which material wins in the abstract. It is which device architecture is best positioned to solve specific system-level constraints under real commercial and geopolitical conditions. Silicon remains dominant in many cost-sensitive applications because the ecosystem is vast and proven. SiC is gaining traction where high voltages and demanding thermal conditions justify its economics. GaN is advancing where high-frequency switching and compact efficiency create advantage, particularly in power supplies and server architectures. The likely result is not a single-material future, but a layered one in which material choice becomes an increasingly strategic design decision.

> **GEOTECHNEXUS VIEW**
>
> *"The future of power electronics will not be decided by physics alone, but by the industrial ecosystems capable of commercializing that physics at scale."*

This is why the power-electronics sector deserves to be analyzed alongside advanced computing rather than beneath it. The critical competition is no longer limited to transistor density in compute. It also includes the ability to reduce losses, manage heat, shrink system size, and control energy conversion across increasingly power-intensive infrastructure. That brings power electronics closer to the center of strategic technology policy than conventional rankings of semiconductor value have often recognized.

Materials, Mining, and Processing

If power electronics are strategic devices, their materials base is a strategic vulnerability. The industry depends on a chain of mined, refined, processed, and fabricated inputs that begin far upstream from the device itself. Silicon and copper remain essential anchors of the system, but the move toward wide-bandgap technologies and advanced packaging raises the importance of silicon carbide substrates, gallium, germanium-linked supply chains in adjacent technologies, specialty gases, high-purity materials, and highly controlled manufacturing inputs.

What matters here is not only scarcity in a geological sense. It is concentration in processing, refinement, and export control authority. The modern geopolitics of materials is shaped less by which country has rocks in the ground than by who converts those rocks into usable inputs for high-value manufacturing. This is why discussions of "critical minerals" cannot be reduced to mining maps alone. Refining, purification, substrate preparation, and materials engineering are the real leverage points.

China's use of export controls has made this reality harder to ignore. The United States International Trade Commission notes that China announced export controls on gallium and germanium in July 2023, with the rules taking effect on August 1 of that year. Subsequent restrictions and bans directed at the United States, including measures announced in late 2024, reinforced the message that upstream material dominance can be operationalized as statecraft. Even when indirect trade routes continue to move material, the strategic effect remains clear: concentrated supply chains create leverage, uncertainty, and pricing power.

MATERIALS AND INPUTS IN THE POWER-ELECTRONICS STACK

Material / Input	Strategic Relevance	Main Geopolitical Issue
Silicon	Foundation of mainstream power semiconductors and many control devices.	Mature but still exposed to energy costs, wafer capacity, and manufacturing concentration.
Silicon carbide substrate and wafers	Essential for SiC power devices used in high-voltage, high-efficiency applications.	Capacity, cost, defect control, and concentration in specialized manufacturing ecosystems.
Gallium	Important to GaN devices and other high-value electronics applications.	Export controls and concentration in processing create supply insecurity.
Copper and conductive materials	Core to power modules, interconnects, motors, charging systems, and grid equipment.	Exposure to price cycles, mining politics, and infrastructure bottlenecks.
Specialty gases and high-purity materials	Required for advanced processing and fabrication quality.	Vulnerability to purification chokepoints and trade restrictions.

The move to secure upstream access is therefore rational, but it is not simple. Governments and firms are responding with stockpiles, strategic offtake agreements, recycling initiatives, and efforts to develop alternative refining and processing capacity. Yet diversification takes time, capital, technical expertise, and political stability. It is far easier to announce a mineral strategy than to build a commercially viable ecosystem around it. This is one reason resource nationalism can persist even when policymakers understand its costs. Once materials become strategic, states are tempted to use them that way.

There is also an analytical trap to avoid. Not every material exposure becomes a catastrophic shortage. Markets adjust, substitution occurs, and indirect channels emerge. But the broader point stands: power-electronics supply chains are no longer governed solely by engineering logic or lowest-cost procurement. They are increasingly shaped by political risk, export policy, and the uneven geography of industrial expertise. That makes upstream materials part of strategic control, not just part of sourcing.

> **GEOTECHNEXUS VIEW**
>
> *"In the power-electronics economy, refining capacity can matter more than raw geology."*

Manufacturing Footprint and Choke Points

The final layer of strategic control lies in manufacturing itself. Device design matters, and materials access matters, but neither is decisive if fabrication, packaging, module assembly, and qualification remain concentrated in a narrow set of firms or jurisdictions. Power electronics do not face the same bottlenecks as leading-edge logic, yet they are far from immune to concentration risk. In fact, their vulnerabilities are more easily overlooked precisely because they do not sit at the glamour end of the chip industry.

Manufacturing strength in power electronics is distributed across several industrial centers, including parts of East Asia, Europe, the United States, and increasingly selected nodes elsewhere. What matters strategically is not simply wafer fabrication capacity, but the full industrial chain: substrates, epitaxy, device processing, packaging, module integration, thermal management, testing, qualification, and field reliability. Power semiconductors are system components, not abstract die. Their value is realized only when they survive harsh operating conditions inside vehicles, industrial drives, telecom systems, power supplies, and energy infrastructure.

That is why packaging and module integration deserve more attention. The semiconductor industry's broader shift toward packaging as a strategic constraint is becoming relevant to power devices as well because thermal performance, reliability, and system integration often depend on the package as much as on the semiconductor material itself. In power electronics, the package is not merely protective casing. It is part of the electrical and thermal architecture. Concentration in module assembly, advanced packaging capabilities, and high-reliability qualification can therefore create hidden chokepoints.

MANUFACTURING LAYERS AND POTENTIAL CHOKE POINTS

Manufacturing Layer	Why It Matters	Potential Choke Point
Substrate and epitaxy	Determines device quality, defect rates, and scalability for SiC and GaN.	Limited qualified suppliers can delay scale-up and raise costs.
Wafer fabrication	Core step in converting materials into usable power devices.	Regional concentration and long lead times can create vulnerability.
Packaging and module assembly	Critical to thermal performance, reliability, and system integration.	Often underappreciated, yet capable of becoming a hidden bottleneck.
Testing and qualification	Essential in automotive, industrial, telecom, and infrastructure markets.	Slow qualification cycles make supplier switching difficult.
End-market allocation	Competing demand from EVs, industry, renewables, and data centers.	Tight capacity in one segment can propagate shortages into others.

The interaction between end markets sharpens this risk. EV adoption, renewable buildout, industrial electrification, and data-center expansion are increasing demand for similar families of high-performance power devices. This means that supply tightness in one sector can reverberate across several others. A surge in EV demand can tighten access to SiC devices needed elsewhere. Growth in server power architectures can accelerate competition for high-efficiency GaN solutions. Under such conditions, the power-electronics market begins to resemble a strategic allocation process rather than a conventional procurement exercise.

The geopolitical overlay is becoming clearer. Export controls, investment screening, trusted-supplier policies, and industrial subsidies are all beginning to shape the footprint of power-electronics manufacturing just as they have reshaped other semiconductor segments. The purpose is not always autarky. More often it is controlled interdependence: preserving access to global markets while reducing exposure to unacceptable strategic dependence. But the practical effect is still fragmentation. Firms are being pushed to regionalize supply chains, duplicate capacity, and map political risk more carefully across the full device stack.

> **GEOTECHNEXUS VIEW**
>
> *"Power electronics are becoming a strategic stack: materials, substrates, devices, packages, and policies all now matter at once."*

This does not mean every country can or should build a fully sovereign power-electronics ecosystem. The capital intensity and technical specialization required would make that unrealistic in many cases. But it does mean states and firms must decide where sovereignty is essential, where alliances are sufficient, and where market dependence remains tolerable. Those choices will shape the next phase of industrial competition more than generic rhetoric about resilience.

Conclusion: The Full-Stack Contest

Part IV reinforces the report's broader thesis that power electronics are not simply hidden technical infrastructure. They are becoming a terrain of industrial competition and geopolitical contestation. The struggle over strategic control in this sector now runs from substrates and export controls to packaging capacity and end-market prioritization. In the next phase of the high-tech economy, the countries and firms that understand this full stack will be better positioned than those that continue to treat power devices as just another component category.

Methodology

This section uses a mixed qualitative–quantitative methodology designed to analyze power electronics as a strategic industrial system rather than as a narrow component market. The framework combines technical interpretation of device families and materials with geopolitical analysis of supply chains, export controls, manufacturing concentration, and end-market competition.

The analysis is organized around three layers: device architecture, upstream materials and processing, and manufacturing and qualification chokepoints. Publicly available industry reports, market estimates, trade and policy documents, and institutional analyses are used to support claims about adoption trends, material exposure, and strategic concentration. Quantitative figures are used as directional indicators of market growth and supply-chain stress, not as the basis for formal forecasting or econometric modeling.

The methodology is also strategic and scenario oriented. Rather than asking only which technology performs best in the abstract, it asks which device and supply-chain configurations are most likely to remain commercially scalable, geopolitically resilient, and industrially controllable under conditions of rising demand, export restrictions, and policy fragmentation. The goal is to provide a structured lens for understanding how materials, manufacturing, and policy now interact to shape competitive advantage in power electronics.

CONTACT

GeoTechNexus / A business unit of GeoNexis LLC
Email: info@geotechnexus.com · Web: www.geotechnexus.com

References

Intel Market Research — GaN/SiC Power Semiconductor Market

IDTechEx — Power Electronics Market Research Report

MarketsandMarkets — EV Semiconductor Market

U.S. International Trade Commission — Germanium and Gallium Executive Briefing

Stimson Center — China's Germanium and Gallium Export Restrictions: Consequences for the United States

Andersen Institute — China's Export Control Architecture and Critical Minerals as Strategic Pressure Points

Simon-Kucher — Semiconductor Industry: Packaging the New Assembly Line

Deepak Lathi (LinkedIn) — China's Strategic Use of Germanium Export Controls

YouTube — Power Electronics & Supply Chains (video)

Simply Wall St — Onto Innovation: The Advanced Packaging Chokepoint

LinkedIn — Singapore GaN/SiC Power Semiconductor Market Forecast

CIRS Group — U.S. Export Controls: Eased Restrictions on Gallium, Germanium and Others

RAISE Summit — Geopolitics, Chips & Supply Chains Reshaping Global Power

Ministry of Commerce, China (MOFCOM) — Announcement / Order

Geopolitical Risk Index — China's Gallium & Germanium Export Controls

Bolaji Ojo is Founder, Managing Director and Principal Analyst at GeoTechNexus, where he leads research at the intersection of technology, supply chains, energy systems, and geopolitics. He is reachable at **bojo@geotechnexus.com**.

© 2026 GeoTechNexus · All rights reserved. This research note is provided for informational purposes and does not constitute investment advice. Reproduction or redistribution without written permission is prohibited.